

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,124	01/03/2002	Walter E. Krumm SR.	6811-1	1033	
7	590 08/01/2003				
SHLESINGER, ARKWRIGHT & GARVEY LLP			EXAMINER		
ARLINGTON,	EADS STREET VA 22202		VANAMAN, FRA	VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3618		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U				
Advisory Action	10/034,124	KRUMM ET AL.					
-	Examiner	Art Unit	.				
	Frank Vanaman	3618					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is requi inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	red to avoid abandonment o either: (1) a timely filed ame of Appeal (with appeal fee);	of this application. A proper andment which places the ap	reply to a plication in				
PERIOD I	FOR REPLY [check either a]	) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date ire later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that harned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d of extension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for reply of	g amount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office action	e extension fee under ; or (2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 July 200</u></li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof</li> </ol>		•	orth in				
2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be er	ntered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	lication in better form for app	peal by materially reducing o	or simplifying the				
(d) 🛮 they present additional claims withou	t canceling a corresponding	number of finally rejected c	laims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s): the pending	rejections under 35 USC §1	<u>12</u> .				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	_ would be allowable if subr	nitted in a separate, timely f	iled amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _		b) disapproved by the Ex	kaminer.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure \$		•					
The Substitute appointment has been i	<del></del>						
		H	UBA 7/36/23				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



• \*\*Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed functional limitations added to claims 1 and 9 are noted but not deemed to define beyond the prior art of record in view of Virnig's latch being selectively operable; the limitations proposed for addition to the end of claim 18 would require further consideration and search; note the addition of proposed claim 21 while no further pending claim has been concurrently cancelled—claim 2 having been canceled previously.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's comments concerning the pivotability of the mechanism of Virnig are noted, however the mecahism as dislosed is capable of meeting these functional limitations in view of the selective nature of the locking mechanism of Virnig. As regards Gunlock's pivotability, note that the ring element is attached to a base (e.g., element 238) which may be pivoted (e.g., about pivot axis 214).